



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/891,387	06/27/2001	Yoshihiro Takashimizu	010803	2563
38834	7590 11/09/2004	EXAMINER		
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			CHANKONG, DOHM	
SUITE 700	SUITE 700			PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		2152	

DATE MAILED: 11/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Best Available Copy

•			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/891,387	TAKASHIMIZU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dohm Chankong	2152	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 O	ctober 2001.		
<u> </u>	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-9 and 11 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. ·		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the $\mathfrak l$	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application in the contraction is a second in the contraction in the contraction in the contraction is a second in the contraction in the	on Noed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/31/2001.	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2152

DETAILED ACTION

1> Claims 1-11 are presented for examination.

Claim Objections

Claims 2-9 and 11 are objected to because of the following informalities: as they are dependant claims, they refer to the apparatus previously defined in their respective parent claims; therefore, these claims should begin with "the apparatus according..." to properly illustrate this antecedent relationship. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Regarding claims 7-9, the phrase "and the like" renders the claims indefinite because the claims include elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "and the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).
- Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. The following line is unclearly and not understood: [page 44 «claim 10»]:
 - "...from said host computer in a connecting relation to a device ... ".

Art Unit: 2152

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6> The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanekar et al, U.S Patent No. 6.751.191 ["Kanekar"] in view of Wang et al, U.S Patent No. 6.587.970 ["Wang"].
- 8> As to claim 1, Kanekar discloses a relay apparatus which is connected to a host computer through a network and transmits data received from said host computer to a device, comprising:
- a first basic unit into which a peculiar network address is set and which performs a relay control between said host computer and said device [Figure 3 «item 202» | column 6 «lines 21-39»];
- a second basic unit into which the same network address as that of said first basic unit is set and which performs a relay control between said host computer and said device [Figure 3 «item 204» | column 2 «lines 25-38» | column 6 «lines 21-39»].

Kanekar discloses making one of said first basic unit and said second basic unit operative as a present system, unit that aids in the monitoring and switching duties, and when an abnormality is detected during said monitoring operation, stopping the basic unit of the present system and switching to an operation of the basic unit of a standby system [column 6 «line 21» to column 7 «line 17» | column 7 «lines 28-31»] but does not specifically disclose a common unit that performs these actions.

- Wang discloses the use of a controller unit that performs switching and monitoring duties [Figure 1 «item 160» | column 7 «lines 3-22»] for the obtained advantage of having an automatic detection and failover capability that is provided by the controller. Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have implemented Kanekar's monitoring and switching functionalities into a separate controller as taught by Lamberton. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform this implementation in Kanekar for the stated advantage of centralizing router selection, automatic failover detection and alleviating the responsibility from Kanekar's routers.
- As to claim 3, Kanekar discloses an apparatus wherein said abnormality detecting unit of said common unit has a timer which sets a predetermined set time and is reactivated each time the periodic status notice from said basic unit is received and detects abnormality of the basic unit of the present system from time-out of said timer [column 7 «lines 25-30»].

- Claims 2 and 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being unpatentable over

 Kanekar and Wang as applied to claim 1 above, in further view of Hashimoto, U.S Patent

 No. 5.815.668.
- Kanekar discloses the apparatus according to claim 1, wherein each of said first and second basic units comprises:
- a main control unit which performs a relay control for relaying the data received from said host computer to said device [column 7 «lines 30-48» where: the routing processor is analogous to a main control unit];
- a setting unit which inputs set information necessary for the relay [Figure 3 «item 208» | column 6 «lines 40-61»];
- a secondary storing unit which stores resources including said set information, a control program and character patterns from the host computer [column 7 «lines 2-7»]; and a status monitoring unit that performs a self diagnosis [column 7 «lines 20-30»].

Kanekar does disclose that the first and second basic units are connected to host computers and devices [Figure 3 | Figure 8 | Figure 9 | column 6 «lines 7-20»] but does not specifically disclose a:

- a host communication control unit which is connected to said host computer and communicates therewith; or
- a device communication control unit which is connected to said device and communicates therewith.

Kanekar does disclose a common unit interface [Figure 3 «item 208»] but does not disclose the common unit address unit or an abnormality detection unit.

13> Hashimoto discloses a:

a host communication control unit which is connected to said host computer and communicates therewith [Figure 1 «item 8»]; and

a device communication control unit which is connected to said device and communicates therewith [Figure 1 «item 7»].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have reasonably inferred that Kanekar's routers would have communication control units taught by Hashimoto, as Kanekar does disclose that the routers have multiple interfaces and are connected to devices in separate networks. Therefore it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate Hashimoto's communication control units into Kanekar for purpose of allowing his routers to properly interface with connected devices.

Wang discloses a common unit comprising:

a common unit interface which is connected to said first basic unit and said second basic unit and communicates therewith [Figure 1 «items 110, 120, 160, 165A, 165B];

a common unit address unit using a non-volatile memory which stores a common network address which is used for said first and second basic units [column 11 «lines 36-40» | column 11 «line 63» to column 12 «line 10»]; and

an abnormality detecting unit which, when the abnormality is detected from a status notice of the basic unit of the present system, instructs a power-off of the basic unit of the present system, thereafter, instructs a power-on of the basic unit of the standby system, and further transmits the common network address stored in said common unit address unit to said host communication control unit of the basic unit of the standby system, thereby allowing said common network address to be taken over [column 8 «lines 15-41» | column 11 «lines 36-62»]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate Wang's common unit into Kanekar's redundancy scheme to enable detection and failover control in a centralized controller thereby providing automatic switchover capabilities to the backup when the primary router fails [Wang – column 8 «lines 38-41»]. Furthermore implementing the common unit in Kanekar's system would enable power supply control over the routers to allow failed routers to be taken off-line.

- As to claim 4, Kanekar discloses an apparatus according to claim 2, wherein if said common network address can be received from said common unit upon activation by a power-on, said host communication control unit of each of said first and second basic units reads out a common network address stored in a self address ROM and sets it [column 7 «lines 1-15»].
- 16> As to claim 5, Kanekar does not disclose an apparatus wherein:

said common unit has a processing system selecting switch which selects the basic unit of the present system;

Art Unit: 2152

said common unit interface instructs a power-off to the basic unit of the present system, and thereafter instructs a power-on to the basic unit selected by said processing system selecting switch in response to a notice of a power-on operation from said first basic unit or said second basic unit; and

each of said first and second basic units has a power control unit which notifies said common unit of the power-on operation at the time of a turn-on operation of a power switch, turns on a self power source when a power-on instruction is received from said common unit, and turns off the self power source when a power-off instruction is received from said common unit.

17> Wang discloses:

said common unit has a processing system selecting switch which selects the basic unit of the present system [Figure 5 | column 18 clines 7-20» where: the primary host computer is analogous to the basic unit];

said common unit interface instructs a power-off to the basic unit of the present system, and thereafter instructs a power-on to the basic unit selected by said processing system selecting switch in response to a notice of a power-on operation from said first basic unit or said second basic unit [column 16 «line 48» to column 17 «line 13»]; and

each of said first and second basic units has a power control unit which notifies said common unit of the power-on operation at the time of a turn-on operation of a power switch, turns on a self power source when a power-on instruction is received from said

Art Unit: 2152

common unit, and turns off the self power source when a power-off instruction is received from said common unit [column 8 «lines 15-41» | column 16 «lines 24-32»].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate Wang's common unit and power-on/off capabilities into Kanekar's redundancy scheme to enable detection and failover control in a centralized controller thereby providing automatic switchover capabilities to the backup when the primary router fails [Wang – column 8 «lines 38-41»]. Furthermore implementing the common unit in Kanekar's system would enable power supply control over the routers to ensure that they are no longer active on the network.

As to claim 6, Kanekar discloses an apparatus according to claim 5, wherein when the host computer of the present system and the host computer of the standby system are arranged through the network [Figure 3 where: Kanekar's master router is analogous to the host computer of the present system and Kanekar's slave router is analogous to the host computer of the standby system],

each of said first and second basic units stores each set information of said host computer of the present system and said host computer of the standby system [Figure 6 «item 62» to column 7 «line 17» | column 7 «line 64» to column 8 «line 8»].

Kanekar does not disclose a common unit having a host selecting switch which instructs a selection of the host computer of the present system or the host computer of the standby system and responds a selecting instruction of said host selecting switch in response to the notice of the power-on operation from said basic unit or said second basic unit, and

the first basic unit or the second basic unit which received the power-on instruction from said common unit is initialized by the set information of said selected and instructed host computer and starts the relay operation.

Wang discloses a common unit having a host selecting switch which instructs a selection of the host computer of the present system or the host computer of the standby system and responds a selecting instruction of said host selecting switch in response to the notice of the power-on operation from said basic unit or said second basic unit [column 8 «lines 15-41»],

and the first basic unit or the second basic unit which received the power-on instruction from said common unit is initialized by the set information of said selected and instructed host computer and starts the relay operation [column 11 «line 63» to column 12 «line 10»].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate Wang's common unit power on/off and host initialization functionality into Kanekar to centralize control of the switchover in one common unit, and to ensure proper initialization of the backup unit with the same parameters as the failed master unit. This permits the backup unit to continue operations with nearly the same information and data as the master unit when the master unit fails.

As to claim 7, Kanekar and Hashimoto disclose an apparatus wherein a plurality of devices such as displays and/or printers and the like are connected to said device

communication control unit of each of said first and second basic units by a common local area network [see claim 2 supra (for device communication control unit) and Figure 8 where: clients 812 are analogous to a plurality of devices].

- Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under Kanekar, Wang and Hashimoto, in further view of Applicant's admitted prior art ["AAPA"].
- As to claim 8, Kanekar and Hashimoto disclose an apparatus wherein a plurality of devices such as displays and/or printers and the like are individually connected to said device communication control unit of each of said first and second basic units [see claim 7 supra] but does not explicitly disclose that they are connected by coaxial lines through a switching mechanism.
- AAPA discloses that it is well known in the art to have an apparatus wherein a plurality of devices such as displays and/or printers and the like are individually connected to said device by coaxial lines through a switching mechanism [Figure 4B]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the coaxial lines and switching mechanism taught by the AAPA to increase the connective functionality of Kanekar's apparatus by allowing a wider variety of devices, such as coaxial devices, to be able to connect to his system.

- As to claim 9, Kanekar does not specifically disclose a coaxial communication control unit which connects a plurality of devices such as displays and/or printers and the like by coaxial lines is connected to said device communication control unit of each of said first and second basic units through a common local area network.
- AAPA discloses a coaxial communication control unit which connects a plurality of devices such as displays and/or printers and the like by coaxial lines is connected to said device communication control unit of each of said first and second basic units through a common local area network [Figure 4B «items 212-1 and 212-2»]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate AAPA's coaxial communication control unit into Kanekar's apparatus to have a central control for the coaxial units that are connected to the apparatus.
- 26> Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang, in view of Kanekar.
- As to claim 10, Wang discloses a relay apparatus which is connected to a host computer of a present system or a host computer of a standby system through a network [Figure 5 | Figure 12], comprising a common unit which instructs said basic unit to select the host computer of the present system or said host computer of the standby system and activates the selected host computer [column 7 «lines 12-28»]; and

a basic unit into which a peculiar network address is set and which performs a relay control between said host computer of the present system or said host computer of the standby system and the device [Figure 1 «item 110» | Figure 12 | column 11 «lines 53-62»].

While Wang does disclose all the claimed limitations spread out over a network, he does not disclose that these units are all located in one unit.

- Kanekar discloses housing basic and common units into a single chassis [Figure 3 | column 6 «lines 9-20»] for the purpose of enabling similar configurations for the basic units. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Wang's relay apparatus and connected units into a single relay apparatus similar to Kanekar single chassis configuration. One would have been motivated to perform this implementation for the obtained advantage of efficiency and ease of incorporating the device into a network.
- As to claim 11, Wang discloses an apparatus according to claim 10, wherein, said basic unit comprises:
- a power control unit which notifies said common unit of a power-on operation at the time of a turn-on operation of a power switch and, thereafter, turns on a power source [column 8 «lines 15-41»];
- a secondary storing unit which stores resources including each set information of said host computer of the present system and said host computer of the standby system [column 9 «lines 37-54»];

a host communication control unit which is initialized by the set information of the host computer which was selected and instructed from said common unit, is connected to said host computer, and communicates therewith [column 9 «lines 55-66»];

a device communication control unit which is connected to said device and communicates therewith [Figure 1 «items 110, 145A, 130» where: the storage system is analogous to said device]; and

a main control unit which performs a relay control for relaying data received from said host computer to said device [column 5 «line 64» to column 6 «line 17» | column 38 «lines 20-29» where: the users are analogous to a host computer, the storage system (where the site is located) is analogous to said device],

and said common unit comprises:

a host selecting switch which instructs a selection of the host computer of the present system or the host computer of the standby system [column 8 «lines 15-41» where; the controller is comparable to the common unit]; and

a common unit interface which is connected to said basic unit and communicates therewith and responds a selecting instruction of the host computer by said host selecting switch in response to a notice of a power-on operation from said basic unit [column 8 «lines 15-41»].

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dohm Chankong whose telephone number is (571)272-3946.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on (703)305-8498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DC

Dung C. Dinh Primary Examiner